

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. BCx 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FT	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,774	(	01/22/2002	David George Miller	24493B	1085
22889	7590	05/06/2003			
OWENS CORNING			EXAMINER		
	2790 COLUMBUS ROAD GRANVILLE, OH 43023			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	J

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Office Andrew Commencer	10/055,774	MILLER ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period f r Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ICATION.  s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej nunication.  10) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>20 August 2002</u> .	
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal matt tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,12-14,17-20,24-27	7,36-39 and 41-50 is/are pending in the	e application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20,24-2	2 <u>7,36-39 <i>and 41-</i>43</u> is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,12,13 and 47</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>2,44-46 and 48-50</u> is/are of	bjected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	·
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are re-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
ri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in Ap	plication No
	of the priority documents have been relational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  In for a list of the certified copies not re	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lar 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	nguage provisional application has be	en received.
ttachment(s)	ioi domodio priorny drider do 0,0.0.	33 120 and 01 121.
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-2, 5,6, 12-14 and 43-50, drawn to a roofing material, classified in class
     442, subclass 148.
  - II. Claims 17-20, 24-27, 36-39, and 41-43, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 427, subclass 186.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different process such as one that applies granules to a film previous to applying it to the asphalt coating.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with James Dottavio on April 30, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-2, 5,6, 12-14 and 43-50. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-20, 24-27, 36-39 and 41-43 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,774 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Objections

5. Claims 2 and 44 are objected to because of the following informalities: they claim the same limitations. Applicant is advised to delete one of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "unitary" has been defined by Applicants as being substantially uninterrupted, or continuous. The use of the term "substantially unitary layer" renders the claim indefinite, it is redundant based on the definition of unitary.

#### Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/055,774 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 8, 23 and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,426,309. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the asphalt-bases roofing material of the '309 patent as claimed in claims 1, 23 and 24 includes all the limitations claimed in the present application.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2, 44, 45, 46, 48-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach an asphalt-based roofing material of the present invention that comprises a web bonded to the lower region of the asphalt coating and exhibits an impact resistance improvement of at least two UL 2218 classes compared with the same roofing material without the web. The prior art fails to teach a web fused to the lower region of the asphalt coating. Further, the prior art fails to teach that the protective coating of the present invention is applied to the upper surface of the asphalt coating by extrusion, melting film strips or by melting particulate material.
- 10. Claim 14 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,774

Art Unit: 1771

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

the prior art fails to teach an asphalt-based roofing material of the present invention that

comprises a protective unitary coating with a surface layer of granules adhered to the protective

coating and at least a portion of the granules penetrate the asphalt coating. It is noted that the

term unitary has been defined by Applicants as being substantially uninterrupted, or continuous.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 703-306-

5714. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-4:00 pm and alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular

communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

nlt

May 1, 2003

ELIZABETH M. COLE

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER